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Abstract
The principles of education for sustainable development implemented in Lithuanian education system shifted perceptions of teachers’ roles from disseminating knowledge towards developing students’ competences of responsible, critical and creative thinking and acting. Arts teachers’ competency to effectively implement goals of arts education in schools is closely related to their education and its quality, which is mainly connected with competences of academic staff, i.e. educators. It is very important that teacher educators are engaged in the permanent professional development closely connected with the area of their subject. The given research aimed to examine coherence between professional development and teaching quality of academic staff of Department of Arts Education in Lithuanian University of Educational Sciences Faculty of Education. 90 students of dance pedagogy, theater pedagogy BA level and dance pedagogy, music pedagogy and visual arts pedagogy MA level participated in the survey. The findings revealed that students have positive opinion about high level of educators’ subject knowledge, but lower about variety of teaching methods applied in study process and assessment objectivity in BA programmes.
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Introduction
Starting point of given research was our involvement into self-evaluation process of dance pedagogy, theater pedagogy BA programmes and dance pedagogy, music pedagogy and pedagogy of visual arts MA programmes administrated by the Department of Arts Education of Lithuanian University of Educational Sciences. Our need to evaluate quality of study process in order to assure its improvement as well as ground it according to national and European documents on education and especially on teacher training induced our scientific interest to research the problem of teaching quality and its assurance through professional development of academic staff of the Department of Arts Education. This interest was strengthened by works of scholars investigating close problems in other higher education institutions in Lithuania (Sirtautienė, 2006; Adomavičienė, Pukelytė, 2009; Marcinkevičienė, Adomavičienė, 2009; Daukilas, 2010; Drūteikienė, Mackelo, 2010; Kerevičienė, Satkauskaitė, 2011).

Analysis of documents (Guidelines and Recommendations for Reorienting Teacher Education to Address Sustainability, 2005; UNESCO and Sustainable Development, 2005; The UN Decade of Education for Sustainable Development:2005-2014, 2007; Council conclusions of 19 November 2010 on Education for Sustainable Development, 2010) and research findings (Adomaitienė, Zubrickienė, Andrieikienė, 2006; Brūzgelevičienė, 2006; Jucevičienė, 2006) on sustainable development and education for sustainable development (ESD) oriented our research towards investigation of the problem of teaching quality in the light of ESD principles. ESD points out importance of education, teacher education and teacher educators’ role in assurance of sustainability principles implementation in social, economical and environmental spheres of life.

According to the documents (The Quality of Teachers, 2006; Improving the Quality of Teacher Education, 2007; Teacher Education in Europe Policy, 2008) if teacher educators seek to be role models for their students – future teachers, then educators should be explicit about their own professional quality. The indicators of which include commitment for ESD principles of lifelong learning, use of variety of pedagogical techniques that promote participatory, independent learning, critical and creative thinking skills as well as values, knowledge and understanding of their subject matter taking into account context, global issues and local needs. Besides, teacher educators have to create appropriate learning environment so that future teachers would achieve needed competences and qualifications indicated in national (Profile of Teacher Professional Competences, 2007; Law on Science and Education, 2009; Regulations on Teacher Education, 2010) and international documents (Common European Principles for Teacher Competencies and Qualifications, 2005; Recommendation on Key Competences for Lifelong Learning, 2005).

High standards for the delivery of arts education programmes underlined in the Final Report of The Second World Conference on Arts Education in Seoul (2010) challenges high level of arts teacher education which is closely connected with their educators’ competences. Situation of arts teachers’ educators teaching quality and professional development in relation to ESD principles emerges as the problem in the context of investigations of mentioned issues in other types of higher education. The aim
of the research is to investigate coherence between teaching quality and professional development of teachers of the Department of Arts Education in Lithuanian University of Educational Sciences. The research seeks to find out: 1) in which areas, forms and ways of participation academic staff of the Department of Arts Education develops professional quality; 2) what is students of arts education study programmes in BA and MA level opinion on educators professional quality; 3) how professional development is connected with educators teaching quality. **Object of the research** – coherence between teaching quality and professional development of arts teachers’ educators.

**Methods and methodologies**

The research methodology was grounded by the approach of coordination of qualitative and quantitative research type (Bitinas, 2006). This was combined with the principles of ESD in higher education (Jučiūnienė and others, 2006). Assuming that ESD has several principles which should be implemented in higher education, as the basis for this research we chose ones related to teacher educators teaching quality and professional improvement: a) lifelong learning promotion in teaching subjects; 2) variety of pedagogical techniques which promote participatory, independent learning, critical and creative thinking skills of students; 3) relevance and usefulness of study subjects in relation to students expectations and needs as well as anticipated job-related roles and assessment.

Analysis and generalization of scientific literature, national and European documents on education and education for sustainable development allowed structure a questionnaire for students and an analysis of educators’ professional development areas, forms and ways of participation according to ESD principles chosen as a basis of the research. As students opinion is one of the major factors in quality assessment (Sirtautienė, 2006), we chose to carry out students survey. Content analysis of the reports of scientific activities (2007–2011) of Department of Arts Education was used as data collection method of professional development of academic staff.

Survey was held during the period of February–March, 2012 in the Department of Arts Education. Students had to give positive or negative answers to statements about teaching quality based on evaluation of subjects which were taught during autumn semester of 2011. The questionnaire was distributed electronically to students of all study programmes of the Department of Arts Education. Research sample included 90 students of dance pedagogy (n=46), theater pedagogy (n=22) bachelor programme, dance education, music education, visual arts education and visual arts history education master programmes (n=22).

**Results of the research**

Questioning of future arts teachers revealed that most of lifelong learning principles are promoted in teaching subjects (figure 1). There were found certain differences between answers of MA and BA students.

![Figure 1. Students’ opinion about lifelong learning principles promotion in study subjects (percentage of positive answers)](image-url)
Master students admitted that educators encourage students’ ability to learn independently and acquire professional knowledge and skills. BA students also gave most positive answers to these questions, however on a lower percentage. Biggest difference, about 20 percent, in MA and BA opinion was about possibility for reflection during subject studies. This shows that teacher educators teaching in the first cycle give less opportunity for students to reflect on their studies, achievements or subject matter than in second cycle. Stimulation of learning motivation concerns us at this point especially in BA level as less than half students indicated presence of this aspect in subject studies. Similar situation is with introduction to students of collaborative learning and fostering professional values. Nevertheless, on a whole answers about promotion of collaborative work, professional values, skills, knowledge and understanding in study subjects are positive both from more than a half of MA and BA respondents.

Analysis of students’ opinion based on their attitude to study subjects of autumn semestre year 2011 content and context revealed certain differences between answers of BA and MA level students (figure 2).

Master programme students gave more positive answers about four aspects of subject content and context: usefulness of subjects, comprehension and rationality of subject content, introduction of course objectives and expected learning outcomes as well as objectivity of assessment. Only areas of students’ self-expression skills encouragement and changes according to students’ expectations were evaluated higher by BA students. Percentage of respondents opinion about assessment objectivity in BA programmes concerns us the most as less than half students gave positive answer on this issue. Difference nearly in twice positive answers between MA and BA students on assessment objectivity raises questions and need to examine this aspect of subject content more deeply, trying to find out reasons of such situation.

Another area of our concern could be shortage of variety of teaching methods used by educators in their subjects. Only half of both BA and MA students indicated appearance of teaching methods diversity during autumn of 2011 semestre studies. Situation when less positive answers were given by MA students is related to a change of subject content according to students’ expectations. This was the only area of subject content and context analysis when BA students gave more positive answers.

Analysis of students’ opinion about pedagogical techniques used by educators in different study subjects revealed that in all positions BA students are less satisfied than MA students (figure 3).
Especially obvious difference in around 20 percent is in areas of readiness to help students solve problems, encouragement to seek best results, engagement with study subject and formulation research-based questions and problem solving.

The highest concern for us is less than third of BA students’ positive answers to educators’ interest in students’ expectations within the subject. More than half both MA and BA respondents admitted satisfaction with educators’ activities to encourage students educate new skills and competences as well as giving enough time for creative tasks and independent work. Together with high level of educators’ subject knowledge featured out both in first and second cycle studies give us inspiration and thinking that academic staff of the Department of Arts Education is moving towards needed direction of quality assurance.

Summarizing students’ questionnaire results it should be mentioned that unfolding differences of teaching quality aspects between master and bachelor programmes raises questions and requires more deep and thorough investigations as most of academic staff of the Department of Arts Education are teaching both in MA and BA level studies.

Analysis of the reports of scientific activities (2007–2011) of the Department of Arts Education revealed main areas, forms and ways of participation in professional development of academic staff (table 1).
Table 1. Professional development of the Department of Arts Education academic staff

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas of professional development</th>
<th>Forms of professional development</th>
<th>Ways of participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conceptual and philosophical grounding of the curriculum</td>
<td>National and international conferences in Lithuania and abroad</td>
<td>Participant with paper presentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Participant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation of students for anticipated job-related roles</td>
<td>National and international conferences in Lithuania and abroad</td>
<td>Participant with paper presentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>educator</td>
<td>Attendant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>artist</td>
<td>Workshops</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participant (choreographer, dancer, musician, conductor, actor, director, stage designer, painter, etc)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>researcher</td>
<td>Workshops</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scientific research projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scientific publications in national and international journals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scientific studies (monographies books)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>education manager</td>
<td>National and institutional projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>culture agent</td>
<td>Seminars</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National and institutional projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expert-coordinator, expert-executor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of Bologna process principles (strengthening of the learning paradigm and creating curricula based on learning outcomes and competences)</td>
<td>National and institutional professional development programmes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety of teaching methods and forms in higher education</td>
<td>National and institutional professional development programmes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seminars</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Four areas of professional development featured out. Teachers were improving their qualification conceptual and philosophical grounding of the curriculum, preparation of students for anticipated job-related roles, implementation of Bologna process principles (strengthening of the learning paradigm and creating curricula based on learning outcomes and competences) and variety of teaching methods and forms in higher education.

Within these areas they used means of participation in national and international conferences in Lithuania and abroad (Latvia, Poland, Sweden, Austria, France, Finland, UK, USA, etc) as participants and participants with paper presentations. Seminars and workshops dominate in area of improving preparation of students for anticipated job-related roles such as educator, artist, researcher, education manager and culture agent. As most of teachers of Department of Arts Education are not only scholars, but also acknowledged artists who educate future dance, theater, music, visual arts teachers it is important that they develop professionally as artist too. Participation in artistic projects such as drama, dance or music performances, national and international arts festivals and exhibitions with their artistic production were main means of improving professional qualification as artists.

Role of education researcher teachers of Department of Arts Education improved implementing scientific research projects and writing scientific publications in national and international journals and conference proceedings. The role of education manager in recent years was quite expanded through participation in the national projects related to leadership in education. Less developed area of professional improvement is preparation of students for the role of cultural agent where students have to get knowledge and skills in organizing activities in informal education in cultural institutions.
The most engaging medium of professional development of several teachers of Department of Arts Education since 2010 is the institutional project “Improving the quality of bachelor-level teacher preparation programmes at Vilnius Pedagogical University”. During this project various aspects of teaching quality in higher education such as formulating programme goals and learning outcomes, compliance of teaching and assessment methods according to the concepts of Dublin descriptors, ECTS, National Qualification Framework, other national and European documents of higher education and education of teachers particularly.

Comparison of areas of educators’ professional development and areas of biggest concern of teaching quality according to students’ opinion allows us to presume that certain aspects are related. Higher percentage of positive answers of BA students than MA students about change of subject content according to students expectations might be related to the fact that teachers of BA programmes participated in seminars and workshops within the University project for improvement of bachelor-level teacher preparation programmes where they improved their competences and understanding about implementation of principles of Bologna process responsibly involving students into formulating study goals and learning outcomes, planning study content and time. Though question why MA students’ opinion on this issue is less positive arises as most of educators teaching in BA programmes teach also in MA level.

Lower than a half of BA respondents’ positive opinion about objectivity of assessment as well as formulation of research-based questions and problem solving encouragement induce thinking that educators are not ready yet for implementation of these issues in spite of their participation in professional development seminars and programmes related. Nevertheless, high positive opinion both of MA and BA respondents about educators’ subject knowledge and vast professional development through participation in numerous of artistic and scientific activities show that academic staff is highly qualified as specialists combining artistic and scholar competences.

Conclusions

1. The analysis of education documents and scientific literature allows to state that teacher educators professional quality should be improved implementing ESD principles in higher education through enhancing lifelong learning values, use of variety of pedagogical techniques that promote participatory, independent learning, critical and creative thinking skills as well as values, knowledge and understanding of subject matter taking into account context, global issues and local needs.

2. Survey of future arts teachers both of bachelor and master programmes exposed high percentage of positive opinion on educators’ subject knowledge and promotion of independent learning, but lower about variety of teaching methods used by educators, objectivity of assessment, formulation of research-based questions and problem solving.

3. There were certain differences in attitudes of MA and BA students on usefulness of subjects, comprehension of subject content, use of reflection during studies and stimulation of learning motivation of students which were higher of MA respondents than BA.

4. Comparison of areas of educators’ professional development which were mostly related to improvement of artistic and scholar competences and areas of biggest concern of teaching methods variety both in MA and BA programmes, assessment objectivity in BA studies according to students’ opinion allows us to presume that impetuous break-through should be initiated in the improvement of educators teaching competences.
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MENO DALKŲ MOKYTOJŲ RENGĖJAI: KVALIFIKACIJOS KĖLIMO IR DĖSTYMO KOKYBĖS SANTYKIS
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Santrauka

Darnaus vystymo švietimo principai skatinti mokymąsi visą gyvenimą, užtikrinti mokymo technologijų įvairovę, kuri plėtotų ir svarankišką mokymąsi, kritinių ir kūrybinių mąstymą, profesinių žinių igijimą, vertybų ir gebiųjų ugdymą, atsižvelgiant į globalų kontekstą ir lokalus poreikius yra deklaruojami įvairuose Lietuvos ir Europos švietimo dokumentuose, aukštųjų mokyklų nuostatauose. Tačiau, kyla klausimai, kaip tai yra įgyvendinama aukštojo mokslo stūkso praktikoje, rengiant mokymo metodiką, priklausančią nuo mokymo įdėjimo, siekiant reguliuoti aukšto lygio meninių ugdymą. Tyrimo tikslas: atskleisti meno dalkų mokymo rengėjų kvalifikacijos kėlimo ir dėstymo kokybės santykio aspektus, siekiant atskirti kvalifikacijos kėlimo ir dėstymo kokybės santykius. Tyrimo rezultatai atskleidė studentų nuomonę, kuria jie formulavo, remdamiesi savo požiūrių į 2011 metų budines meno dalkų dėstymą, studijų aprašai analizë parodë nuomonių skirtumus tarp bakalauro ir magistro programų studentų. Darbuojoje atveju magistrai pozityviai vertino dėstymo kokybę nei bakalaurai. Tai ypač ákivaizdu, analizuodamas, įtaką studentų požiūrių į dalykų dėstymą, įtaką, kurią ir turi dalykų naudingumų, tai turinio išsamumą ir racionumą bei vertinimo objektivumą. Vienintelė pozicija, kur bakalaurai buvo požiūrines nei magistrai, buvo studijų dalykų turinio atitvar, atsižvelgiant į studentų lūkesčius. Šią situaciją galima būtų siūti su dalykų kvalifikacijos turinio sričių ir formų analizës metu išaiškėjusiomis tendencijomis, kurios rodo, kad bakalauro programose dėstytos dalykai, nuo 2010 metų dalyvau judėjime projektu „Edukologų rengimo kokybės gerinimas atnaujinant pirmos studijų pakopos programas Vilniaus pedagoginiame universitete”, įsisavinimo studentų įtaką skiria į studijų...
programos tikslų ir studijų rezultatų formulavimą bei studijų laiko ir turinio planavimą. Todėl bakalauro programos buvo atnaujinamos atsižvelgiant į studentų išsakytas nuomones. Skirtumas tarp bakalauro ir magistro programų respondentų atsakymų kelia klausimą, kurių būtina nagrinėti giliau ir plačiau, nes dauguma bakalauro programos dėstytų dėsto ir magistrantūros programose.

Apibendrinant atliktas tyrimų rezultatus galima padaryti išvadas, kad būsimų meno dalykų mokytojų nuomonė apie dėstymo kokybę yra teigiamai, ypač dėstytų dalyko žinių ir savarankiško mokymosi skatinimo srityse. Tačiau mokymo metodų įvairovė, probleminių klausimų kėlimo ir sprendimo galimybės, bakalauro studijų atvejų ir vertinimo objektyvumo sritys rodo mažesnio studentų skaičiaus teigiamą vertinimą. Lyginant dėstytų kvalifikacijos kėlimo ir studentų teigiamą dėstymo kokybės vertinimo sritys, atsiskleidė dėstytų dalyvavimo universiteto projektuose, tobulinančiose edukologijos rengimą, ir teigiamas bakalauro studentų nuomonės apie dalykų atnaujinimą sąsajos.